

Opinions of Military Personnel on Gays in the Military

Submitted to:
Aaron Belkin, Director
Michael D. Palm Center

Submitted by:
Zogby International
John Zogby, President and CEO
John Bruce, Vice President and Systems Administrator
Rebecca Wittman, Vice President and Managing Editor

Sam Rodgers, Writer

December, 2006

© 2006 Zogby International

Table Of Contents

Subject	Page
I. Methodology and Sample Characteristics	2
II. Executive Summary	5
III. Narrative Analysis	8

Tables	
1. Intra-Unit Leadership And Cooperation	12
2. Impact Of Gay/Lesbian Presence On Unit Morale	17
3. Assumed Impact Of Gay/Lesbian Presence On Unit Morale	18
4. Arguments For Keeping Gays/Lesbians From Serving	23
5. Arguments For Allowing Gays/Lesbians To Serve	24

I. Methodology and Sample Characteristics

Methodology

Zogby International conducted online interviews of 545 U.S. Military Personnel who have served in Iraq and Afghanistan (or in combat support roles directly supporting those operations), from a purchased list of U.S. Military Personnel. The online poll ran from 10/24/06 through 10/26/06. The margin of error is +/- 4.3 percentage points. Margins of error are higher in sub-groups. Slight weights were added to age and race to more accurately reflect the population. Data used in weighting was obtained from official Department of Defense (DOD) resources.

The panel used for this survey is composed of over 1 million members and correlates closely with the U.S. population on all key profiles. The panel uses a double opt-in format through an invitation only method. Panelists are sourced through a variety of commercial enterprises and all recruitment methodologies fully comply with CASRO guidelines. Each panelist is defined by over 400 variables, therefore making the panel highly segmented and fully representative of the US and military population.

Sample Characteristics	Frequency	Valid Percent*
Sample size	545	100
East	69	13
South	223	43
Central/Great Lakes	101	20
West	123	24
Did not answer state	29	--
Veteran	88	16
Active	353	65
Reserve/Guard, mobilized	35	6
Reserve/Guard, non-mobilized	69	13
Air Force	160	29
Army	251	46
Marines	35	7
Navy	92	17
Coast Guard	5	1
18-29	296	55
30-49	221	41
50-64	22	4
Did not answer age	6	--
White	375	73
Black/African American	62	12
Spanish/Hispanic/Latino	47	9
American Indian/Alaska Native	5	1
Asian	13	3
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	5	1
Other/Mixed	10	2
Did not answer race	28	--
Baptist	85	17
Church of Christ	22	4
Episcopal	6	1

Sample Characteristics (continued)	Frequency	Valid Percent*
Jewish	6	1
Lutheran	35	7
Methodist	26	5
Mormon	6	1
Muslim	5	1
Pentecostal	16	3
Presbyterian	11	2
Roman Catholic	148	29
Seventh Day Adventist	1	0
Atheist/Realist/Humanist	17	4
Other/no affiliation	120	24
Did not answer religion	36	--
Democratic	101	21
Republican	241	51
Independent/Minor party	103	22
Not sure of party	32	7
Did not answer party	68	--
Male	451	85
Female	80	15
Did not answer gender	15	--

* Numbers have been rounded to the nearest percent and might not total 100.

II. Executive Summary

This survey of current and recent military service personnel who have served in Iraq or Afghanistan (or in combat support roles directly supporting those operations) sought to explore the issue of gays and lesbians in the United States military, specifically within the context of three key areas – the size and characteristics of the gay and lesbian population in the military, the views of service personnel regarding the subject, and finally, the impact of gays and lesbians on the military.

Population Within Service Unit

By interviewing military personnel who have served in Iraq or Afghanistan (both those who have served and are serving currently), we were able to capture a snapshot of the current military environment with respect to this issue. Our survey included respondents from all service branches as well as Active Duty Personnel, Veterans and Reservists. The sample also included combat and non-combat units as well as enlisted men and officers.

The overall attitude of these service members was optimistic. Large majorities report being well-trained, well-equipped and battle ready. Additionally, most respondents believe that their leadership (both Non-Commissioned Officers and Commissioned Officers) was excellent and they report feeling a high level of teamwork exists within their unit.

Regarding the presence of gays and lesbians in their units, a near majority (45%) states that they *suspect* a member of their unit is homosexual. Roughly one-third (31%) does not suspect a member of their unit. Higher rates of suspicion were found among Reservists (60%), Navy Personnel (59%) and Females (56%). The lowest rates were found among Air Force Personnel (38%) and Officers (33%). When asked how many unit members they suspected, two-thirds of respondents (68%) said less than three.

Respondents were also asked if they *knew* of any members within their unit who were gay or lesbian. Here, less than one quarter (23%) said they were definitely aware. Of those who were, three-in-five (59%) report having been directly told by the individual. When asked how many they *knew* within their unit, the vast majority (75%) reported knowing two or less. A majority (55%) also notes that the presence of gays and lesbians is well-known within their unit.

Opinions On Homosexuality

Asked whether they agree that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve in the military, respondents were closely split with a plurality (37%) disagreeing with the idea, and 26 percent agreeing they should be allowed.

Of those agreeing with their inclusion, certain demographic groups represented higher than average support. Among those were Independents, African-Americans, Women, those aged 25-34, and Women. These subgroups were largely more supportive of gays and lesbians in every question, with Democrats and Hispanics also frequently representing more open views toward gays in the military.

Within military subgroups, veterans and those having served less than 4 years were also more likely to support the idea of inclusion within the military, while Active Duty Personnel, Officers, and those having served 15 or more years were less likely to agree. There was slight variance among service branches, and this variance has been noted where applicable.

Three-quarters of those surveyed stated that they felt comfortable around gays and lesbians and four-in-five (78%) noted that they would join the military regardless of their open inclusion. Additionally, a majority (52%) reports having received some form of anti-gay harassment training, with Air Force personnel representing the highest level of training (62%) and the Marine Corps the lowest (34%).

Perceived Impact

Of those who were certain that a member of their unit was gay or lesbian, two-thirds did not believe that their presence created an impact on either their personal morale (66%) or the morale of their unit (64%). Approximately one-quarter of that group believed there to be a negative impact to both.

In contrast, of those who do not suspect the presence of gays or lesbians within their unit, only half (49%) perceive no impact on personal morale, and only less than one-third (26%) feel there would be no impact on their unit's morale. Regarding their unit's morale, a majority of this group (58%) believes if there were gays or lesbians within their unit, there would be a negative impact.

Given a set of arguments both for and against allowing gays in the military, respondents were asked to choose those that were the strongest. The most widely selected arguments for keeping gays and lesbians from serving centered on the threat of their presence undermining the unit (40%) or the threat of harm befalling them (28%).

When given the arguments in support of allowing their inclusion, the two most selected arguments were the irrelevance of sexual orientation to job performance (39%) and the morality of discriminating based on sexual orientation (30%). Additionally, one-in-five respondents (21%) believed there to be no strong arguments for the exclusion of homosexuals, while one-in-five (19%) believe there to be no strong arguments in their favor.

Overall, this survey paints a mixed picture for the future of gays and lesbians in the military. While overwhelming majorities of those responding display tolerance and understanding of the rights and issues involved in the argument, there are still large obstacles that must be overcome.

III. Narrative Analysis

1. What is your current status?

Active	65%
Veteran	16
Reserve/Guard, non-mobilized	13
Reserve/Guard, mobilized	6

A majority of those surveyed (65%) indicated that they were currently classified as Active Duty. Almost one-in-five (19%) identified themselves as being in the Reserves – 13 percent non-mobilized, 6 percent mobilized. The remaining 16 percent noted their status as Veterans.

2. In which branch of the military do you serve? (*Vets: In which branch of the military did you serve?*)

Army	46%
Air Force	29
Navy	17
Marines	7
Coast Guard	1

Respondents serving (or having served) in the Army composed almost half (46%) of the sample size. Three-in-ten (29%) noted their service in the Air Force, with another 17 percent affiliated or having been affiliated with the Navy. The remaining respondents were either members of the Marines (7%) or the Coast Guard (1%).

3. (*Veterans only*) How many years ago did you leave the service?

One	35%
Two	24
Three	17
Four	6
Five	19

More than two-in-three veteran respondents (59%) stated that they had left the military within the past two years. The remaining 42 percent left within the past five years. All took part in operations in either Iraq and/or Afghanistan or were involved in combat support operations related to those two operations.

4. How many years have you served in the U.S. military? (**Vets: How many years did you serve in the U.S. military?**)

Less than four	11%
Four	9
Five	12
Six	8
7 to 10	20
11 to 20	27
21 to 30	12

Roughly one-third (32%) of those surveyed have (or did) serve 5 or fewer years. More than a quarter served between either 6 to 10 years (28%) or between 11 to 20 years (27%). Little more than one-in-ten (12%) served longer than 20 years.

5. What is or was the highest grade you achieved?

E2 – E9	66%
O1 – O8	31
W1 – W5	3

Nearly two thirds of respondents (66%) achieved their highest rank as an enlisted man/woman. An additional third (31%) reached their highest rank as an officer, with the remaining 3 percent identifying themselves as warrant officers.

The following are questions about your current unit. If you just arrived at a new unit, please answer for your last unit. (Vets: First we'd like to ask some questions about the last unit you served in.)

6. Is your unit a combat, combat-support, or combat service support unit? (**Vets: Was the last unit in which you served a combat, combat-support, or combat service support unit?**)

Combat	29%
Combat support	32
Combat service support	18
Other	19
Not sure	2

Nearly equivalent numbers of respondents were currently in (or had last been in) combat units (29%) and combat support units (32%). A slightly smaller number, one-in-five (18%), listed their current or last unit as a designated combat service support unit.

The remaining respondents were either in units under another designation (19%) or were unsure about their unit’s designation (2%).

Among service branches, more than one-third of respondents from the Navy (39%) and the Army (34%) classified their units as combat units. A little fewer than one-in-five of those surveyed (19%) from both the Air Force and the Marines listed themselves as part of combat units. Air Force members were more likely to be part of units designated as combat support (39%) than under any other designation. Similarly, respondents from the Marine Corps were most likely to be in combat service support designated units (42%) than in any other such designated unit.

7. How would you rate your unit’s level of training for its wartime mission? (Vets: How would you rate your unit’s level of training for its wartime mission? If it varied, think generally about the last year you served in it.)

Very well trained	43%	Above Average	83%
Well trained	39		
Adequately trained	13		
Poorly trained	3	Below Average	4
Very poorly trained	1		
Not sure	1		

The overwhelming majority of survey respondents (83%) rate their current or former unit as well or very well trained. Only one-in-twenty (4%) list their unit as being poorly or very poorly trained for their wartime mission.

When viewed by service branches, some disparities emerge. Among Air Force and Marine respondents, nine-in-ten (89 and 90 percent, respectively) rate their unit as having above adequate training. On the other hand, four-in-five (80%) of Army and Navy respondents each lists their units as above average.

The only subgroup that presents a below average rating above 5 percent are Reservists, whose net below average rating is 8 percent. Across Veterans, Active Duty Personnel, Enlisted men and Officers, these ratings hold within the sample error.

8. How would you rate the equipment your unit has for its wartime mission? (*Vets: How would you rate the equipment your unit had for its wartime mission? Consider overall the last year you served.*)

Very well equipped	23%	Above Average	62%
Well equipped	39		
Adequately equipped	28		
Poorly equipped	7	Below Average	9
Very poorly equipped	2		
Not sure	1		

Three-out-of-five survey respondents (62%) rated their unit’s equipment as well equipped (39%) or very well equipped (23%). Less than one-in-ten (9%) rated their equipment for their wartime mission as below average. The remaining respondents either stated that their unit was adequately equipped (28%) or were uncertain (1%).

Within the service branches, respondents from the Air Force rated their unit’s equipment readiness the highest (76%), while members of Army gave their unit’s the lowest rating (53%). Approximately three-in-five respondents in both the Navy (61%) and the Marine Corps (62%) designated their units as having an above average equipment readiness.

Only the Army and Navy had below average ratings – 13 and 12 percent, respectively. Among other significant subgroups, the highest above average rating emerged from officers (73%), while the highest below average rating came from reservists (17%).

9. How would you rate the readiness of your unit for its wartime mission? (*Vets: How would you rate the readiness of your last unit for its wartime mission? Again, think generally about the last year you served.*)

Very High	40%	Above Average	79%
High	39		
Medium	17		
Low	3	Below Average	4
Very Low	1		
Not sure	1		

Three-in-four respondents (79%) rated their unit’s overall readiness as above average, with only 4 percent designating their unit as below average. Members of three service branches – the Navy, Air Force and Marine Corps – rated their readiness higher than the overall above average rating, with 82 percent, 86 percent and 90 percent respectively. Slightly less than three quarters of Army respondents (73%) rated their units the same.

Among other sub groups, only Officers (85%) and Active Duty Personnel (82%) gave their units higher ratings than the overall average. The overall results held across all other subgroups.

10 – 12. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Table 1. Intra-unit Leadership and Cooperation

	Agree			Neutral	Disagree			Not sure
	Overall	Strongly agree	Agree		Overall	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
The NCOs in my unit are good leaders. (Vets: The NCOs in my last unit were good leaders.)	85	36	49	11	3	3	<1	1
There is a lot of teamwork and cooperation in my unit. (Vets: There was a lot of teamwork and cooperation in my unit.)	82	32	50	12	6	5	1	1
The officers in my unit are good leaders. (Vets: The officers in my last unit were good leaders.)	72	25	47	19	8	6	2	1

Both Non-Commissioned Officers (NCOs) and Commissioned Officers received high marks for leadership. NCOs did fare better in the overall ratings, as more than four-in-five respondents (85%) agreed that their NCOs were good leaders. Among Marine Corps respondents, agreement reached almost complete unanimity (95%), while among Army service members that rate dropped to 82 percent.

When asked about their officers, more than two-in-three (72%) agreed that they were good leaders. Navy members were far less likely to believe that their officers were good leaders, as just over half (58%) agreed with that statement. Again, Marine Corps respondents gave their officers the highest vote of confidence among service groups, with almost all (93%) agreeing their officers were good leaders.

Four-in-five survey respondents (82%) agreed with the statement that there is a lot of teamwork and cooperation in their unit. Four subgroups had higher agreement rates with the statement – Active Duty Personnel (86%), Officers (87%), the Air Force (88%) and the Marine Corps (92%). All other subgroups were within the sampling error.

13. Do you agree or disagree with allowing gays and lesbians to serve openly in the military?

Strongly Agree	9%		
Agree	17	Agree	26%
Neutral	32		
Disagree	16		
Strongly Disagree	21	Disagree	37
Not sure	5		

Slightly more than one-in-three respondents (37%) disagree that gays should be allowed to serve openly in the military, while almost three-in-ten (28%) believe they should. Of those remaining, an almost equivalent amount holds a neutral opinion (32%), while just 5 percent are unsure.

Along political lines, Democrats and Independents/Moderates (Independents) are far more likely to agree with the statement. One-in-three Democrats (35%) and Independents (36%) hold this opinion, while only one-in-five Republicans (22%) holds the same. One-quarter of Democrats (28%) disagreed with the statement, while Independents (41%) held close to the overall average, and almost half of Republicans (45%) expressed their disagreement.

A further divide was present along racial lines, as Whites (26%) and Hispanics (26%) held to the average agreement rate, while more than a third of African-Americans (37%) agreed. Only one-in-five Hispanics (17%) and a quarter of African-Americans (28%) disagreed with the statement, but among Whites the rate rose to more than two-in-four (43%).

There also exists a gender divide with women far more likely than men to express agreement with the idea of gays and lesbians in the military. Four-in-nine women (44%) believe gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve, while more than a quarter of women (27%) disagree. Among men, the rates are almost reciprocal with a quarter of men (24%) expressing agreement and two-in-five (40%) voicing their disapproval.

The only remaining non-military subgroup results to display significant findings were the disapproval rates among Baptists (41%), those between the age 35-54 (46%), and those living in both the Central/Great Lakes (45%) and Western U.S. (48%). Among Easterners, the rates were highly favorable toward the statement with more than two-in-five (39%) agreeing and less than one-in-five (15%) disagreeing.

Within military subgroups, the highest agreement rates were found among Veterans (35%) and those having served less than four years (37%). The lowest acceptance rates were among Active Duty Personnel (23%), officers (23%), those serving between 10 and 14 years (22%) and those serving more than 20 (19%). Active Duty Personnel were also among those with the highest disapproval rates (39%), as were those

serving between 15 and 19 years (40%), those serving more than 20 (49%), and officers (47%).

Among the service branches, the Army had the lowest agreement rate – less than a quarter (23%) – as compared with the Marine Corps (25%), The Air Force (29%) and the Navy (31%). The highest disapproval ratings were found amongst the Air Force (40%) and the Army (37%), followed closely by the Navy (33%) and the Marine Corps (32%).

14. In your unit, are there people you suspect are gay or lesbian, but don't know for sure? (Vets: In your last unit, were there people you suspected were gay or lesbian, but didn't know for sure?)

Yes	45%
No	31
Not Sure	25

Almost half of all service members (45%) stated that they suspect there are members of their unit who are gay or lesbian. Three-in-ten (31%) said they did not suspect a unit member, while a quarter of all respondents (25%) said they were unsure.

Females were much more likely than males to suspect a member of their unit, with nearly three-in-five females (56%) believing a member of their unit to be gay or lesbian, while little more than two-in-five (43%) males held the same belief.

Three-in-five Reservists (60%) and more than half of all Veterans (54%) responded that they suspected a member of their unit, as opposed to approximately two-in-five (38%) active duty personnel. Higher than average rates were also found among Enlisted men (50%), Marines (51%) and Navy Personnel (59%). Roughly two-in-five members of the Air Force (38%) and the Army (43%) suspected members of their unit, as did only one-third (33%) of officers.

15. (Asked only of those who suspect gays/lesbians in their unit.) How many people do you suspect are gay or lesbian? (Vets: How many people did you suspect were gay or lesbian?)

One	13%
Two	26
Three	29
Four	12
Five	12
Six or More	9

Of those who responded that they suspect a member of their unit is gay or lesbian, respondents were asked how many individuals they suspected. Two-thirds of respondents (68%) said they suspected three or fewer individuals in their unit were gay or lesbian. A little more than one-third (39%) suspected two or fewer unit members. The remaining third (32%) suspected that four or more members of their unit were gay or lesbian. These numbers held across all military subgroups.

16. Do you know for certain that someone is gay or lesbian in your unit? (Vets: In your last unit, did you know for certain that someone was gay or lesbian?)

Yes	23%
No	61
Not sure	17

Sixty-one percent of respondents surveyed stated that they were certain that a member of their unit was not gay or lesbian, as compared to 23 percent who were certain. Among women, nearly three-in-ten (29%) expressed certainty that a member of their unit was gay or lesbian. Only one-in-five males (22%) had the same degree of confidence.

When compared among service branches, those in the Navy were the most likely to be certain regarding the presence of gays and lesbians in their unit. Thirty-one percent of Navy personnel responded as such, while a quarter of Marines (26%) and those in the Army (25%) had the same level of certainty. Members of the Air Force were the least likely to be certain of a unit member’s homosexuality, with only 13 percent holding this view. Enlisted men were more than twice as likely as officers to know for certain, with more than a quarter (27%) noting this, as opposed to the 12 percent of officers.

(Questions 17-21 were asked only of those who know for certain that someone in their unit is gay/lesbian.)

17. How many people do you know for certain are gay or lesbian? (Vets: How many people did you know for certain were gay or lesbian?)

One	37%
Two	38
Three	14
Four	3
Five	2
Six or More	5

Three quarters of respondents (75%) who were certain about the presence of gays or lesbians within their unit knew of two or less people. Fourteen percent were aware of three members, while a further 10 percent knew of four or more. Among males, more

than two-in-five (42%) were aware of only one individual. Females, on the other hand, were less likely to know of just one individual (17%), but much more likely to know of the presence of four or more (37%). Only 4 percent of males were aware of four or more within their unit.

18. How do you know for certain? (Vets: How did you know for certain?) (Choose all that apply.)

The individual told me	59%
Somebody else told me about the person	32
I could tell by the person's speech, behavior, or appearance	25
I observed the person being romantic with someone of the same sex	24
I observed the person attending a gay club, bar, parade, political activity, etc.	8
Other	12
Not sure	3

A majority of those who know about a unit member being gay or lesbian (59%) report as to having been made aware by the individual themselves. Additionally, a third (32%) say that they were told by another person. One quarter also report their certainty as being based either on the person's behavior (25%) and/or having observed the person engaged in homosexually romantic activity (24%).

When broken down by gender, women were more likely than men to have been told by the individual (74 percent to 55 percent). Men, on the other hand, were twice as likely than women to have been told by another individual (36 percent to 17 percent). Enlisted men were also twice as likely as officers to have been told by the individual themselves (63% to 30%).

19. Is the presence of gays or lesbians in the unit well-known by others? (Vets: Was the presence of gays or lesbians in the unit well-known by others?)

Yes	55%
No	25
Not sure	21

More than half (55%) of those knowing with certainty about the presence of gays or lesbians within their unit state that such a presence is well known by others. More than a quarter (25%) claim that the presence is not well-known. Between males and females, males are more likely to agree that the presence of gays and lesbians within the unit is well known (56%), while less than half of women (47%) report the same. The overall averages hold constant across all other subgroups.

20. How does the presence of gays or lesbians in your unit impact your personal morale? (Vets: How did the presence of gays or lesbians in your last unit impact your personal morale?)

21. How does the presence of gays or lesbians in your unit impact your unit's overall morale? (Vets: How did the presence of gays or lesbians in your last unit impact your unit's overall morale?) (All responses skip to 24)

Table 2. Impact of Gay/Lesbian Presence on Unit Morale

	Personal morale	Unit's morale
Very negative impact	8	8
Somewhat negative impact	20	19
Negative	28	27
No impact	66	64
Somewhat positive impact	1	1
Very positive impact	4	2
Positive	6	3
Not sure	1	6

When those who were certain of the presence of gays or lesbians within their unit were asked what impact this presence had on both their personal morale and their unit's morale, responses were consistent across the board. Roughly one quarter of all respondents said that the presence of gays or lesbians had a negative impact on either their personal morale (28%) or their unit's morale (27%). The overwhelming majority of respondents stated their belief that the presence of gays or lesbians had little or no impact on either. Less than one out of every ten respondents noted a positive impact with personal morale (6%) or their unit's morale (3%).

Men were twice as likely as women to view gays and lesbians within their unit as having a negative impact on personal morale. Three-in-ten men (31%) voiced this opinion, while only one-in-ten women (14%) did the same. Eleven percent of women noted a positive impact created by gays and lesbians, as compared to 4 percent of men. Among other subgroups, the only significant variation was found with Active Duty Personnel, of whom more than a third (36%) listed a negative impact on personal morale created by gays and lesbians within the unit.

Opinions regarding the impact on the unit's morale were even more consistent. Here, the only significant variations were found among women – 10 percent of whom believe in a positive impact of unit morale. This is starkly contrasted by the 1 percent of men who hold the same belief. Among Active Duty Personnel, negative impact rating is also higher than average, with one-third (33%) believing it to have a negative impact.

(Questions 22-23 were asked only of those who do not know for certain that someone in their unit is gay/lesbian.)

22. *How do you think the presence of gays or lesbians in your unit would impact your personal morale?*

23. *How do you think the presence of gays or lesbians would impact the overall morale of your current unit? (Vets: **How do you think the presence of gays or lesbians would impact the overall morale of your last unit?**)*

Table 3. Assumed Impact of Gay/Lesbian Presence on Unit Morale

	Personal morale	Unit's morale
Very negative impact	9	15
Somewhat negative impact	29	43
Negative	38	58
No impact	49	26
Somewhat positive impact	1	--
Very positive impact	2	2
Positive	2	2
Not sure	11	14

Respondents who had previously stated that they were not certain about the presence of gays or lesbians within their unit were asked about the hypothetical impact if such a presence existed. The result was a higher negative impact rating than is seen among respondents who are certain of gays or lesbians in their units.

More than one-third (38%) of these individuals believe there would be a negative impact on personal morale, and more than half (58%) believe such presence would have a negative impact on the unit's morale. The percentage of those voicing the opinion that the presence of gays or lesbians would have no impact fell significantly from the percentages of those who are certain of gays or lesbians in their units, as did the percentage of those perceiving a positive impact.

Across the gender divide, men again saw the presence of gays and lesbians as having a more negative impact, with more than two-in-five (42%) holding this opinion regarding personal morale, and more than three-in-five (62%) regarding the unit's morale. Only one-in-five women (22%) believed gays and lesbians in their unit would have a negative impact on their own morale, while twice that number (45%) believe in a negative impact for the unit.

Older respondents were also more likely to perceive a negative impact – the highest such rating coming from those between the ages of 35 to 54, as 46 percent believe in a negative impact on personal morale and two thirds (68%) in a negative impact on the unit.

For impact on personal morale among military subgroups, the lowest negative ratings emerged among those having served less than four years (27%) and between 10 and 14 years (29%), and those in the Navy (29%). In contrast the highest negative ratings emerged from those having served between 15-19 years (43%) or more than 20 years (49%), Officers (42%), and those in the Marine Corps (43%). All subgroup positive ratings were well within the sampling error.

The negative impact of gays and lesbians regarding unit morale also presented several significant subgroup variations. Among the service branches, personnel in the Navy (51%) and Air Force (54%) have the lowest negative opinion, while the Army (61%) and the Marine Corps (69%) have the highest.

The data also shows that the longer one serves in the military, the more likely they are to believe in a negative impact. Such ratings were lowest among those serving less than 4 years (50%) and highest among those serving either between 15 and 19 years (63%) or more than 20 (68%). Two-thirds of officers (66%) also held a negative opinion, compared to 53 percent of enlisted men. Still, net negative impact ratings were above 50 percent for every subgroup.

24. Personally, how comfortable are you in the presence of gays and lesbians?

Very Comfortable	29%	Comfortable	73%
Somewhat Comfortable	44		
Somewhat Uncomfortable	15	Uncomfortable	19
Very Uncomfortable	5		
Not Sure	8		

When asked whether they were comfortable in the presence of gays and lesbians, three-quarters (73%) of those surveyed said they were either somewhat comfortable (44%) or very comfortable (29%). Less than one-in-five (19%) stated that were uncomfortable, and of that group, only 4 percent identified themselves as being very uncomfortable.

Comfort rates were consistent across both Democrats (73%) and Republicans (72%), but spiked among Independents (81%). Among Independents, very comfortable rates were the highest of any subgroup, with more than one third (37%) stating their high degree of comfort with gays and lesbians. The highest discomfort rate was found amongst Republicans – nearly a quarter of whom (24%) held this opinion.

Females were also more likely to express comfort among gays and lesbians, as nearly nine-in-ten (88%) held this opinion, as compared to seven-in-ten males (71%). Males were three times more likely to be uncomfortable (22%) than were women (6%).

Among other subgroups, African-Americans (71%), Catholics (78%) and those between the ages of 25-34 (75%) displayed the highest rates of comfort. Baptists (26%) and those between the ages of 18 and 24 (24%) presented the highest discomfort rates.

Within military subgroups, Veterans (81%) were more likely than Active Duty Personnel (70%) to be comfortable in the presence of gays and lesbians. Also, more than four-in-five (85%) of those who have served between 10 and 14 years expressed being comfortable, while two-thirds (66%) of those having served more than 20 years feel the same.

Additionally, roughly four-in-five members of the Navy (79%) and Marine Corps (82%) stated that they felt comfortable around gays and lesbians – the highest rates among the service branches. This compared with less than three quarters of Air Force members (73%) and Army members (69%). Air Force personnel displayed the highest discomfort rate (23%) of any service branch.

25. In your current unit, how often do you take showers privately, such as in a single-stall shower rather than an open group shower? (Vets: During the last year of your military service, how often did you take showers privately, such as in a single-stall shower rather than an open group shower)

Almost Always Privately	49%
Usually Privately	22
About Half and Half	17
Usually Group Showers	5
Always or Almost Always Group Showers	3
Not sure	4

Just less than half of all respondents (49%) stated that they almost always shower privately. An additional fifth (23%) note that they usually shower privately, which aggregates to nearly three quarters (71%) of service personal surveyed who at the minimum usually shower privately. A further 17 percent shower privately approximately half the time, leaving only 8 percent who usually or almost always shower in groups.

Women were more likely than men to shower privately, with three-fifths (61%) responding that they almost always shower privately and at least three quarters (78%) who usually do so. Less than half of all men (47%) said they always shower privately, and more than two-thirds (70%) at least usually do so.

Among the service branches, those in the Navy (88%) and Air Force (79%) were most likely to at least usually shower privately. Within the Navy, almost two-thirds (64%) noted that they almost always shower privately – the highest such rate. Roughly three-in-five Army personnel (60%) and Marine Corps members (63%) report at least usually showering privately. Only 37 percent of Army personnel said that they always shower privately – the lowest such rate.

26. Which of the following were important in your decision to join the military? (*Choose all that apply.*)

Duty/service to the country	78%
Benefits (such as retirement, health care)	62
Funds for college or vocational school	54
Job skills/experience for a civilian job	51
Military values/ethics	50
Interesting/meaningful work	44
Challenges of military life (such as mental, physical)	39
Salary/cash bonuses	35
Family tradition of military service	28
Knowing that gays are not allowed to serve openly	2
Other/None of the above	6
Not sure	1

Asked to choose which reasons were most important in their decision to join the military, an overwhelming majority of respondents (78%) stated that their decision was a product of a sense of duty and a desire to serve their country. Approximately three-in-five said that their reason for joining was either for non-wage benefits (62%) or for funds for college tuition (54%).

Half noted their reasons as being either for military values (50%), Job skills and experience (51%) or for interesting and meaningful work (44%). Only 2 percent noted that the inability of gays to serve in the military was a reason behind their decision to serve.

27. Would you have still joined the military if gays and lesbians were allowed to serve openly?

Definitely Yes	42%	Yes	78%
Probably Yes	35		
Probably Not	7	No	10
Definitely Not	3		
Not Sure	13		

Four-out-of-five respondents (78%) report that they would have joined the military, regardless of whether gays and lesbians would be allowed to serve. One-in-ten (10%) would not have joined if gays and lesbians were allowed to serve openly. Thirteen percent of respondents remain uncertain about their decision to this hypothetical.

Eighty-nine percent of female respondents report they would have joined the military regardless of the presence of gays and lesbians, as compared to 77 percent of their male counterparts who hold the same opinion. All other subgroups' responses remained within the sampling error, including all military subgroups.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?

28. *Compared with my peers, I consider myself more tolerant on the issue of homosexuals in the military. (Vets: Compared with the peers I served with, I considered myself more tolerant on the issue of homosexuals in the military.)*

Strongly Agree	16%		
Agree	36	Agree	52%
Neutral	31		
Disagree	8		
Strongly Disagree	3	Disagree	11
Not sure	7		

A majority of respondents (52%) believes they are more tolerant than their peers on the issue of homosexuals in the military. Only one-in-ten (11%) feels they are less tolerant, with 31% claiming neutrality on the issue.

Several subgroups present significantly higher than average agreement rates, including Democrats (69%), Independents (62%), Females (68%), Hispanics (64%) and African-Americans (55%). Conversely, male respondents and Republicans were less likely to agree that they were more tolerant than their peers, with 50 percent and 46 percent, respectively, holding that opinion.

Within military subgroups, Veterans (62%), those having served less than 4 years (62%), Marine Corps members (78%) and Navy personnel (61%) were more likely to agree that they were more tolerant than their peers. The lowest rates of agreement were found among Active Duty Personnel (29%), those serving between 5 and 9 years (42%), Air Force Personnel (48%) and Army Personnel (48%).

29. What are the strongest arguments for keeping gays from openly serving in the military? (*Please choose up to three of the most convincing options below*)

Table 4. Arguments for Keeping Gays/Lesbians from Serving

	%
Open gays and lesbians would undermine unit cohesion	40
Open gays and lesbians would get beat up or abused	28
Straights would not respect gay or lesbian leaders	26
Homosexuality violates religious / moral beliefs	25
Straights should not have to share foxholes, showers, etc. with open gays and lesbians	22
Open gays and lesbians would be more likely to pursue one another than they do now	7
Gays and lesbians would increase the spread of HIV/AIDS	6
Open gays and lesbians would be more likely to pursue straights	5
More gays and lesbians would join or remain in the military	3
Gays and lesbians cannot perform their military jobs as well as heterosexuals	<1
Other reason	9
There are no strong arguments for keeping gays from serving openly	21
Not sure	14

When asked to identify which are the strongest reasons for keeping gays and lesbians from serving openly in the military, the top two responses were concern for unit cohesion (40%) and for the individuals themselves (28%). The next tier of responses, each being selected by approximately one fourth of respondents, pertained to concern over the violation of moral or religious beliefs (25%), lack of respect for gay or lesbian leaders (26%), and a concern over sharing personal space (22%).

An almost equivalent number (21%) stated they believed there were no strong arguments for keeping gays and lesbians from serving openly.

30. What are the strongest arguments for allowing gays and lesbians to serve openly in the military? (Please choose up to three of the most convincing options below)

Table 5. Arguments for Allowing Gays/Lesbians to Serve

	%
Sexual orientation has nothing to do with job performance	36
It is wrong to discriminate based on sexual orientation	30
During wartime, the armed forces need every qualified service member regardless of sexual orientation	25
Discharging service members for being gay is a waste of recruiting, education and training dollars	22
Gays already make valuable contributions to the military	17
No one should be able to avoid a service obligation by claiming to be gay	11
No one should be forced to lie about who they are as a condition of military service	11
The government should not pry into people's private lives	11
Discharging service members for being gay undermines military readiness	7
Other reason	2
There are no strong arguments for allowing gays and lesbians to serve openly	19
Not sure	13

Given potential arguments for allowing gays and lesbians to serve in the military, the two most frequently selected options were that sexual orientation has no impact on job performance (36%) and the ethical concern for discriminating based on sexual orientation (30%).

The next three most selected options involved the implications for service, especially during wartime. Respondents noted that in a state of war, the military needs access to every qualified individual (25%), discharging based on sexual orientation is a waste of resources (22%) and that individuals gays already make valuable contributions to the military (17%). Nearly one-in-five (19%) of respondents stated that they believe there are no strong arguments for allowing gays and lesbians to serve openly in the military.

31. Have you had training on the prevention of anti-gay harassment in the past three years? (Vets: During the last three years of your military service, did you have any training on the prevention of anti-gay harassment?)

Yes	52%
No	37
Not sure	11

A majority of those surveyed (52%) report having received anti-gay harassment training within the past three years. Approximately one-third (37%) say they have not received such training, while one-in-ten (11%) is not sure.

Within military subgroups, Active Personnel were more likely than Veterans to have received such training – 56 percent compared to 44 percent. Also, 64 percent of those having served 15 to 19 years report having received training, while less than half of those having served less than 4 years (47%) or between 5 and 9 years (48%) report the same.

Among the service branches, the Air Force had the highest percentage of respondents having received training (62%), while those in the Army (51%), Navy (44%) and Marine Corps (34%) reported far lower levels of anti-gay harassment training.